# **Reinforcement Learning in Modernity**

Part I. Function approximation and Deep Q-networks

1

John D. Martin<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> December 24, 2021

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} {}^{\alpha} \text{University of Alberta} \\ \texttt{jmartin8@ualberta.ca} \end{array}$ 

## A Preview of What's to Come

- ▶ RL day consists of four lectures
  - $\checkmark$  1. A First Glimpse at Reinforcement Learning
  - $\checkmark$  2. Essentials of RL
  - $\boxtimes$  3. RL in Modernity
    - 4. Applications of RL
- ► This lecture will cover
  - The function approximation setting (John).
  - $\blacksquare$  Case study: DQN (John).
  - $\blacksquare$  Case study: PPO (Xutong Zhao).
  - $\blacksquare$  Case study: AlphaGo (Xutong Zhao).
- ▶ The course is intended to prepare you for RL research.



Interesting domains often involve a large number of observations, states, or actions.



Stratospheric balloons can experience an enormous number of environment states.



Computer Go has  $10^{170}$  states.





Martin and Modayil (2021).

A simulated frog's eye contains  $2^{4000}\approx 10^{1204}$  observations.



Manipulating a Rubik's cube involves precision control, with many actions.



Playing Atari involves high-dimensional observations.



## The problem with tabular RL

- ► Tables contain a value for every state or state-action pair.
- ▶ In large-scale domains, this representation becomes intractable.
- ▶ The learner should be able to *generalize* between experiences.



## The problem with tabular RL

- ▶ Tables contain a value for every state or state-action pair.
- ▶ In large-scale domains, this representation becomes intractable.
- ▶ The learner should be able to *generalize* between experiences.



Where does function approximation apply in RL?

- Approximate value function  $\hat{v}_{\pi}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) \approx v_{\pi}(s)$ .
- ► Policy:  $\pi(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$
- ▶ Part I focuses on value approximation.



## Agent State

- Agent state  $\mathbf{x}(s)$  is the learner's internal representation of environment state.
- ▶ Agent state encodes patterns of the observation stream.
- ▶ Useful agent states are often more complex than raw observations.

$$\hat{v}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) \triangleq \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}(s),$$
  
 $\hat{v}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) \approx v(s)$ 

#### Agent state and feature vectors

- ▶ In a linear architecture, the agent state is a vector of *features*.
- ▶ The approximate value function is a weighted sum of features.
- ► There are many choices for features.

#### Examples of features

- Constant:  $\mathbf{x}(s) = 1$
- Tabular:  $\mathbf{x}(s) = (I(s=1), I(s=2), \cdots, I(s=d))^{\top}$
- Linear:  $\mathbf{x}(s) = (O_1, O_2, \cdots, O_k)^{\top}$  for k-dimensional observations.
- ▶ Aggregation: Binary features that indicate occupancy of some support set.
- Fourier features:  $\mathbf{x}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\pi j}{T} \mathbf{o} i}$ .
- ▶ Neural network:  $\mathbf{x}(s) = h_{\ell} \circ h_{\ell-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_1(\mathbf{o})$  for  $\ell$  hidden layers.



Types of approximate value function architectures

- Monolithic architecture: one parametric function for  $\hat{v}$  or  $\hat{q}$ .
- ▶ Stacked architecture: one parametric function for each action.
- ▶ Hybrid architecture: monolithic features and stacked final layers.

## Case Study: Deep Q-Network



doi:10.1038/nature14236

# Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning

Volodymyr Mnih<sup>1</sup>\*, Koray Kavukcuoglu<sup>1</sup>\*, David Silver<sup>1</sup>\*, Andrei A. Rusu<sup>1</sup>, Joel Veness<sup>1</sup>, Marc G. Bellemare<sup>1</sup>, Alex Graves<sup>1</sup>, Martin Riedmiller<sup>1</sup>, Andreas K. Fidjeland<sup>1</sup>, Georg Ostrovski<sup>1</sup>, Stig Petersen<sup>1</sup>, Charles Beattie<sup>1</sup>, Amir Sadik<sup>1</sup>, Ioannis Antonoglou<sup>1</sup>, Helen King<sup>1</sup>, Dharshan Kumaran<sup>1</sup>, Daan Wierstra<sup>1</sup>, Shane Legg<sup>1</sup> & Demis Hassabis<sup>1</sup>

## Deep Q-Network (DQN)

- ▶ Learning system observes a stream of images.
- ▶ Objective: learn a good approximate action-value function for control.
- ▶ Architecture uses a stacked representation of shared convolutional layers.



```
class NatureDQNNetwork(nn.Module):
 """The convolutional network used to compute the agent's Q-values."""
num actions: int
inputs preprocessed: bool = False
@nn.compact
def __call__(self, x):
  initializer = nn.initializers.xavier_uniform()
  if not self.inputs_preprocessed:
    x = preprocess_atari_inputs(x)
  x = nn.Conv(features=32, kernel size=(8, 8), strides=(4, 4),
              kernel init=initializer)(x)
  x = nn.relu(x)
  x = nn.Conv(features=64, kernel size=(4, 4), strides=(2, 2),
              kernel init=initializer)(x)
  x = nn.relu(x)
  x = nn.Conv(features=64, kernel_size=(3, 3), strides=(1, 1),
              kernel_init=initializer)(x)
  x = nn.relu(x)
  x = x.reshape((-1)) # flatten
  x = nn.Dense(features=512, kernel_init=initializer)(x)
  x = nn.relu(x)
  q_values = nn.Dense(features=self.num_actions,
                      kernel init=initializer)(x)
  return atari_lib.DQNNetworkType(q_values)
```

Code from Dopamine research framework (Castro et al., 2018)



$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{E}[(R + \gamma \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q(S', a'; \tau) - q(S, A; \mathbf{w}))^2],$$
  
$$S, A, S' \sim \mu(\cdot)$$

## **DQN** Loss

- ► Layer weights are denoted by **w**.
- Target network has weights  $\tau$ .
- ▶ Approximate loss with an empirical expectation of i.i.d. experience.

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{E}[(R + \gamma \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q(S', a'; \boldsymbol{\tau}) - q(S, A; \mathbf{w}))^2],$$
  
$$S, A, S' \sim \mu(\cdot)$$

$$\hat{L}(\mathbf{w}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i + \gamma \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q(s'_i, a'; \boldsymbol{\tau}) - q(s_i, a_i; \mathbf{w}))^2],$$
$$s_i, r_i, s'_i \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$$

## **DQN** Loss

- ► Layer weights are denoted by **w**.
- Target network has weights  $\tau$ .
- ▶ Approximate loss with an empirical expectation of i.i.d. experience.



### **Distinguishing features**

- ▶ Draws i.i.d experience from a batch to remove serial correlation (Lin 1993).
- ▶ Computes targets from an equivalent network updated at a slower rate.
- Rewards are clipped to  $\pm 1$ .

## [DQN video link]